OLADRA DESIGN NOTES

This paper provides design insights that have informed Oladra Platform development. These should be read alongside the Oladra Platform white paper for context and further explanation. They are presented here with a hint of provocation as there are some sacred cows that should be slain.

---

1. Core Design — Where the Music Signal Begins

A Digital Audio Source lives or dies by its Core: the processor complex, memory subsystem, I/O structure, and the way these elements are physically and electrically integrated.

This is where many designs go wrong. Some chase low power and get a sound that is smooth, pleasant, and ultimately less revealing. Others chase computing capability using ordinary computer architecture, then try to clean up the damage afterwards. Both approaches miss the point.

The first step in digital audio needs real computing authority. But that authority must be delivered without the normal electrical consequences of computing.

Design Insights

Do not choose the Core by benchmark logic.
A DAS is not improved by using the newest, fastest, or most feature-rich processor. What matters is whether the Core has enough authority for the task without flooding the system with needless activity.

A low-activity sound is not the same as a truthful sound.
Underpowered platforms can sound attractive because they do less harm in obvious ways. But they often smooth over the fine nuance through which great artists communicate phrasing, tension, and emotional intent.

Integration is everything.
Track length, lane usage, power domains, crossings, shared paths, and physical placement all change the sound. The more tightly and intelligently the Core is integrated, the less opportunity there is for interference to spread through the system.

Ordinary computer abundance is the enemy.
Unused interfaces, excess buses, surplus lanes, graphics capability, and high-speed subsystems all energise a larger electrical city than the music task requires. That electrical activity does not stay politely in its assigned block.

Signal movement must be disciplined.
Shorter paths, dedicated lanes where needed, and less shared traffic, consistently sound better because they create fewer opportunities for timing disturbance and electrical contamination.

Software discipline matters as much as hardware discipline.
The best Core is still compromised if firmware and software allow avoidable background activity, unnecessary wake-ups, or unstable scheduling behaviour.

An Oladra DAS is not a generic computer adapted for music. It is a purpose-built audio signal-generation platform. Get the Core right, and the whole system becomes calmer, clearer, and far more revealing of the performance. Get it wrong, and no amount of downstream refinement will fully restore what was lost at the start.

---

1. Power Delivery — The Electrical Conditions of Music

Power is not a utility sitting beside the signal story. In a DAS, it is one of the conditions that defines the signal story.

Every processor cycle, memory access, interface transition, and network event begins as a demand on the power system. If that system is slow, noisy, or unstable, then every part of the machine is forced to work in a compromised electrical environment.

This is why simplistic thinking about power supplies is so misleading. The important distinction is not linear versus switched versus battery. The important distinction is whether the total power system preserves musical coherence.

Design Insights

Stop treating power as a commodity.
In digital audio, power is not just voltage delivery. It is part of the timing, grounding, and interference behaviour of the whole platform.

A supply that looks quiet can still sound wrong.
Steady-state smoothness is not enough. Digital audio places dynamic demands on power. If the supply cannot respond quickly and predictably, timing suffers and the sound loses coherence.

Transient response matters enormously.
What matters is not just how quiet the rail looks on average, but how the system behaves when processing, memory, and I/O demands change moment by moment.

Clean power at the motherboard input solves very little on its own.
Power must be controlled locally, at the point of use. Every active stage both affects and is affected by the electrical conditions around it.

Domain separation is essential.
Processing, clocks, network sections, memory, and outputs should not be allowed to spray their consequences into one another. Shared power behaviour is sharing the damage.

Ground is part of the power design.
Poor return-path control can undermine an otherwise excellent supply by allowing noise currents to interfere with sensitive circuits.

Do not trust labels.
The right power supply is determined by the demands of the circuit, not by the label on the technology – switch-mode, linear, battery – though all have their place. The right question is always: what does the complete system do under real musical load?

When power delivery is fast, quiet, and stable, the result is not just lower noise. Music gains flow, timing locks together, and the performance becomes more emotionally convincing. In digital audio, power is not supporting the performance from the sidelines. It is helping decide whether the performance survives intact.

---

2. Clocking & Slaving — Where Time Becomes Music

Clocking is one of the places where digital audio theory most often parts company with digital audio experience.

People like to believe that once a DAC has a good oscillator, the upstream job is done. Listening says otherwise.

Clock integrity is not created by one component in isolation. It depends on the electrical environment in which that component must operate. Power noise, ground instability, poor routing, radiated interference, and cross-domain interaction all shape the final result.

Design Insights

A great oscillator does not guarantee a great result.
Clock quality is not defined by a part number. It is defined by what that clock is forced to live with in the real circuit, and the stability of its reactions.

Phase noise matters more than marketing language.
Clock design should be judged by real short-term stability under real operating conditions, not by prestige terms or abstract accuracy claims.

Placement and routing matter audibly.
Long traces, poor return paths, unnecessary crossings, and careless distribution all degrade the very timing precision the clock is there to protect.

Clock power must be exceptionally well controlled.
If the supply or ground to the clock circuit is unstable, the timing is unstable. That is true no matter how fine the oscillator itself may be.

Clock-domain crossings are costly.
Every crossing invites buffering, resynchronisation, or other forms of compromise. Fewer clock domains, handled more coherently, consistently sound better.

Slaving is powerful, but only when executed properly.
Done exceptionally well, slaving the DAS to the DAC can be transformative. Done casually, it can be worse than a well-executed asynchronous design.

Return clock paths are not an afterthought.
If slaving is used, the path back matters as much as the path forward. Shielding, impedance, phase behaviour, and interference control all become critical.

The ear is more sensitive to timing disorder than many engineers want to believe. When clocking is treated as a whole-system discipline, music regains flow, phrasing, and natural human expression. When it is treated as a specification on a brochure, the life of the performance slips quietly away.

---

3. Audio Outputs — The Handoff That Shapes Everything

The audio output of a DAS is not a commodity connection. It is the handoff from source to DAC, and the quality of that handoff has a profound effect on what the DAC is then able to do.

A common claim is that the DAC buffers, isolates, or reclocks everything, so source quality upstream should not matter. If that were really true, better sources would not keep improving DACs that are supposedly immune. But they do.

The reason is simple: the DAC does not receive abstract data in a vacuum. It receives a signal through a real interface, in a real electrical environment, under the influence of real grounding, power behaviour, packet handling, and interference.

Design Insights

Do not assume the DAC fixes the source.
Buffering and reclocking can reduce some problems. They do not erase the electrical consequences of receiving and processing the signal.

Interface choice matters, but implementation matters more.
A theoretically superior interface can sound disappointing if poorly executed. A simpler interface can sound superb if implemented with great care.

I^2S can be the most direct and revealing handoff.
When source and DAC are specifically matched and the transmission method is expertly implemented, I^2S can deliver the most convincing result. But it is demanding, and poor implementation will quickly waste its potential.

USB can be outstanding.
When layout, grounding, isolation, regulation, and software behaviour are right, USB can outperform the older serial standards very convincingly.

AES3 and S/PDIF are not obsolete.
They remain capable of excellent results because their relative simplicity can work in their favour when both source and DAC are well designed.

Ethernet is convenient, not magically ideal.
It can work very well, but it shifts considerable burden and consequent noise into the DAC: PHY behaviour, packet handling, buffering, and local power quality all become critical. Convenience should not be confused with sonic superiority.

Unused outputs should be silent because they should be off.
Every additional active output stage creates avoidable emissions and coupling paths. A serious DAS does not energise what it is not using. Always, just connect one cable to the DAC.

The output connection is not the end of the signal path. It is the beginning of the DAC's job. And the quality of that beginning shapes the entire musical result. Get the handoff right, and the

DAC is free to show what it can really do. Get it wrong, and the rest of the system spends its time recovering from a compromised start.

Designed as One. Heard as One.